Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Chemosphere

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/chemosphere

Impact of environmentally relevant concentrations of fluoxetine on zebrafish larvae: From gene to behavior

Daniela Correia^{a,*}, Marina Bellot^b, Eva Prats^c, Cristian Gómez-Canela^b, Hugo Moro^d, Demetrio Raldúa^d, Inês Domingues^a, Miguel Oliveira^a, Melissa Faria^d

^a Centre for Environmental and Marine Studies (CESAM), Department of Biology, University of Aveiro, Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193, Aveiro, Portugal ^b Department of Analytical Chemistry and Applied (Chromatography Section), School of Engineering, Institut Químic de Sarrià-Universitat Ramon Llull, Via Augusta 390, 08017, Barcelona, Spain

^c Center for Research and Development, Spanish National Research Council (CSIC), Spain

^d Institute of Environmental Assessment and Water Research, Spanish National Research Council (CSIC), Spain

HIGHLIGHTS

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

- Low concentrations of fluoxetine (FLX) altered zebrafish development.
- FLX promoted early hatching, growth inhibition and increased heart rate.
- FLX exposure decreased startle response and increased basal locomotor activity.
- FLX decreased the expression of monoaminergic genes.
- FLX affected the levels of monoaminergic neurotransmitters.

ABSTRACT

Fluoxetine is widely prescribed for the treatment of depressive states, acting at the level of the central nervous system, consequently affecting non-target organisms. This study aimed to investigate the influence of environmentally relevant fluoxetine concentrations (1–1000 ng/L) on *Danio rerio* development, assessing both embryotoxicity and behavior, antioxidant defense, gene expression and neurotransmitter levels at larval stage. Exposure to fluoxetine during early development was found to be able to accelerate embryo hatching in embryos exposed to 1, 10 and 100 ng/L, reduce larval size in 1000 ng/L, and increase heart rate in 10, 100 and 1000 ng/L exposed larvae. Behavioral impairments (decreased startle response and increased larvae locomotor activity) were associated with effects on monoaminergic systems, detected through the downregulation of key genes (*vmat2, mao, tph1a* and *th2*). In addition, altered levels of neurochemicals belonging to the serotonergic and dopaminergic systems (increased levels of tryptophan and norepinephrine) highlighted the sensitivity of early

* Corresponding author.

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Development

Biomarkers

Environmental levels

Neurotransmission

SSRI

Handling Editor: Alvine C.Mehinto

E-mail address: danielavcorreia@ua.pt (D. Correia).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.140468

Received 26 July 2023; Received in revised form 19 September 2023; Accepted 15 October 2023 Available online 16 October 2023

0045-6535/© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).







Chemosphere

life stages of zebrafish to low concentrations of fluoxetine, inducing effects that may compromise larval survival. The obtained data support the necessity to test low concentrations of SSRIs in environmental risk assessment and the use of biomarkers at different levels of biological organization for a better understanding of modes of action.

1. Introduction

Fluoxetine is commonly prescribed for the treatment of symptoms related to depression and anxiety (Stewart et al., 2014), being a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) antidepressant. Its mechanism of action consists of the inhibition of the serotonin receptor carrier in the presynaptic terminal, resulting in increased levels of 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT or serotonin) in the synaptic cleft (Chai et al., 2021; McDonald, 2017). Once taken, fluoxetine is metabolized into norfluoxetine and subsequently excreted in the urine (with less than 10% excreted unchanged) ending up in wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) (Hiemke and Härtter, 2000). Contamination of aquatic environments with fluoxetine is mainly associated with WWTPs effluent release from domestic, hospital and pharmaceutical industry sources, due to the inefficient removal of these compounds during water treatment. Consequently, fluoxetine and its derivate norfluoxetine are commonly identified in the water ecosystem (Hiemke and Härtter, 2000), registering levels ranging from 0.4 ng/L to 3.645 $\mu\text{g/L}$ in proximity to WWTPs (Metcalfe et al., 2010; Salgado et al., 2011; Sousa et al., 2011) for fluoxetine. For its metabolite, concentrations reaching up to 10.4 and 11.7 µg/L have been recorded in WWTP influents and effluents, respectively (Shraim et al., 2012).

Several studies have shown that fluoxetine can accumulate in different fish tissues (e.g., brain and liver) (Dorelle et al., 2020; Pan et al., 2018; Yan et al., 2020) and exert effects at different biological levels (e.g., gene expression (Craig et al., 2014; Evsiukova et al., 2021; Parolini et al., 2019; Theodoridi et al., 2017; Yan et al., 2020), biochemical and metabolic profiles (Blahova et al., 2021; Ding et al., 2016; Duarte et al., 2020; Mishra et al., 2017), reproductive processes (Bertram et al., 2018; Prasad et al., 2015; Thoré et al., 2020), and behavior (e.g., locomotion, sociability, feeding and predator avoidance behaviors (Farias et al., 2019a,b,c; Greaney et al., 2015; Martin et al., 2017, 2019; Meijide et al., 2018; Sehonova et al., 2018; Vera-Chang et al., 2018; Zindler et al., 2020)). However, the available information about the effects induced by fluoxetine on the levels of neurotransmitters in fish is limited, especially during the early life stages. This information is particularly important as a developing central nervous system is more vulnerable to contaminants than an adult nervous system, interfering with normal functioning and compromising adaptation to the environment (Giordano and Costa, 2012). Furthermore, in a developing nervous system, neurotransmitters may have additional roles, including modulating cell proliferation and differentiation (Nguyen et al., 2001). Thus, exposure to neuroactive compounds during this sensitive phase may result in permanent changes in the brain.

The zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) is a model organism commonly used in ecotoxicology and neurotoxicological studies (Scholz et al., 2008), since it shares common neurotransmitter systems with other vertebrates, and has a neuroanatomical organization that shares similarities with humans (Horzmann and Freeman, 2016). In this study, the effects of fluoxetine on zebrafish development were studied, by exposing 4 h post-fertilization (hpf) organisms for 8 days to environmentally relevant concentrations (1, 10, 100 and 1000 ng/L). The potential embryotoxicity as well as the effects on locomotor behavior (startle and visual motor response), changes in the expression of key genes associated with the monoaminergic system and neurochemical profiles, antioxidant status and neurotransmitter levels at the end of the exposure period were studied.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Fish husbandry and larvae production

Adult wild-type zebrafish were purchased from Pisciber BSF, Terrassa, Barcelona. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees at the CID-CSIC and conducted in accordance with the institutional guidelines under a license from the local government (No 11336) (Faria et al., 2022a,b).

2.2. Chemical stability of fluoxetine using LC-MS/MS analysis

The stability of fluoxetine in the water in which embryos were exposed was studied for 48 h using ultra-high performance liquid chromatography coupled to a hybrid triple quadrupole detector with ion trap spectrometer (Shimadzu, Sciex QTrap 7500). Fluoxetine solutions (1, 10, 100 and 1000 ng/L) were freshly prepared in fish culture water, and aliquots taken at times 0 and 48 h, using analytical methodology previously optimized for the determination of fluoxetine, among other pharmaceuticals (Bellot et al., 2021a; Gómez-Canela et al., 2021).

2.3. Embryo exposure

Fluoxetine hydrochloride (CAS number: 56296-78-7, molecular weight 345.79 g/mol, purity: >98%) was purchased from ChemCruz (Netherlands). The stock solution and the test concentrations were prepared in fish culture water on the experiment day. The test concentrations (1, 10, 100 and 1000 ng/L) were prepared in water by successive dilutions of the stock (4 μ g/L), making a total of 150 mL of each concentration tested. The zebrafish embryos were exposed from 4 h post-fertilization (hpf) until 8 days post-fertilization (dpf), in 6-well plates with 10 embryos per well containing 10 mL of medium, and a total of 15 wells per treatment. A complete renewal of control and fluoxetine concentrations tested was performed every 2 days. Animals were checked daily, and several endpoints evaluated throughout the exposure: mortality, malformations, pericardial and yolk sac edema, heartbeat, hatching and swim bladder inflation. At the end of the exposure period, organisms presenting delayed swimming bladder inflation, or any malformation were not used for the following analysis. Larvae fulfilling the quality criteria were submitted to behavior analysis and then collected into 1.5 mL tubes, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C for biochemical (n = 8 pools), gene expression (n = 8 pools) and neurochemical analysis (n = 6 pools).

2.4. Cardiac activity

To evaluate the effects of fluoxetine on zebrafish larvae cardiac function, 8 dpf organisms from the control and treatments (14 larvae per treatment) were immobilized on a slide, in 4% methylcellulose, placed in ventral or lateral view. Before the video recording the heart movement, 8 dpf zebrafish were not under anesthetic. Cardiac activity analysis was performed in isolated behavior rooms at 27–28 °C. The cardiac activity of each larva was recorded for 30 s (AVI format at 30 fps) with a GigE camera (UI–5240CP-NIR-GL, Imaging Development Systems, Germany), attached on a Motic SMZ-171 stereomicroscope, as described by Faria et al. (2022a).

2.5. Behavior analysis

All behavioral analysis were performed using a DanioVision system

associated with the Ethovision XT 11 software (Noldus, Wageningen, the Netherlands), as previously reported by other authors (Faria et al., 2015, 2020), using 96 zebrafish larvae (8 dpf) per treatment, from two independent experiments. The trials were performed in 48-well plates, and four types of behavior were evaluated: vibrational startle response, habituation or non-associative learning, basal locomotor activity (BLM) and visual motor response (VMR). Briefly, fish larvae were acclimated in the DanioVision Observation Chamber (DVOC) for 20 min, in dark, before the vibratory and visual stimuli constituting the behavioral test were initiated. 51 tapping stimuli (intensity: 8; interstimulus interval: 1 s) were applied in the dark, followed by a 10 min recovery time. Then, a light cycle (intensity: 100%, duration: 10 min) and a dark cycle (intensity: 0%, duration: 10 min) were initiated. Videos were recorded at 30 frames per second.

2.6. Biomarkers analysis

All biochemical determinations were conducted in whole zebrafish larvae (8 dpf), collected from three independent experiments (96 larvae per treatment). The antioxidant enzyme activities of catalase (CAT) and superoxide dismutase (SOD), were determined according to Faria et al. (2019a; 2019b), while reduced glutathione levels (GSH) were measured following the method described by White et al. (2003). In total, per experimental condition, 8 pools (12 larvae per pool) were analyzed for CAT and SOD activities and GSH levels. All biochemical analysis were normalized by total protein concentration in the samples, measured using the Bradford method (Bradford, 1976) with bovine serum albumin (BSA) as standard.

2.7. RNA preparation and qRT-PCR analysis

Analysis of changes in larvae gene expression was performed as previously described by Prats et al. (2017). Total RNA was extracted from 8 pools (4 larvae with 8 dpf per pool, 32 larvae per treatment), collected from three independent experiments, using the Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). The qRT-PCR was subsequently performed and data analysis was executed using the $\Delta\Delta$ Cp method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). Primer sequences (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) of the seven selected genes (*sert*, *vmat2*, *dat*, *mao*, *dbh*, *th2* and *tph1a*) are reported in Supplementary Table S1.

2.8. Monoaminergic compounds extraction and LC-MS/MS analysis

The extraction procedure and the chromatographic conditions to analyze the targeted monoaminergic compounds were performed as described in previous studies addressing neurotransmitters characterization in zebrafish (Bellot et al., 2021a,b; Mayol-Cabré et al., 2020). Briefly, stock solutions (1000 ng/L) of the target compounds were prepared in methanol (MeOH), water or dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) depending on their solubility. An internal standard mixture (ISM) was prepared in a mixture of acetonitrile (ACN): H₂O 90:10 + 1% formic acid (FA). Pools of 15 heads of zebrafish larvae (n = 6 pools, per treatment), collected from two independent experiments were analyzed by chromatographic and mass spectrometry conditions described in previous studies (Mayol-Cabré et al., 2020).

2.9. Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed with IBM SPSS v25 (Statistical Package, 2010; Chicago, IL), and plotted with Microsoft Excel 2016. Normality was assessed using Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post hoc test was applied for groups meeting parametric requirements, whereas data that failed normality were then transformed with logarithmic function and reprocessed. The Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test was used for those groups that did not meet parametric assumptions, even after the log transformed. The results for hatching, startle response, biomarkers, and gene expression passed normality, while the results obtained for survival, larval length, heart rate, habituation, BLM and neurotransmitters profiles did not. The data related to the percentage of accumulative malformations and delayed swimming bladder inflation were analyzed by chi-square test. Fluoxetine stability samples were analyzed by pairwise Students' t-test. Significance was set at p < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Fluoxetine stability

The concentrations of fluoxetine at time 0 and 48 h are presented in Table 1. Overall, fluoxetine levels remained stable at the highest concentrations (100 and 1000 ng/L), while at the lowest concentrations, 1 and 10 ng/L, a decrease corresponding to approximately 45 and 59% was observed after 48 h (Student's t-test, 1 ng/L: p = 0.192; 10 ng/L: p = 0.002; 100 ng/L: p = 0.470; 1000 ng/L: p = 0.918) (Table 1).

3.2. Embryonic development

In this study, to assess the effects of fluoxetine on embryonic development, five endpoints were analyzed: (A) Survival; (B) Hatching; (C) Malformations; (D) Swimming bladder inflation; (E) Larvae length; (F) Cardiac activity (Fig. 1). The larvae exposure to fluoxetine did not significantly affect survival over time (48 h: H(4) = 3.020, p = 0.554; 72 h: H(4) = 5.324, p = 0.256; 96 h: H(4) = 5.315, p = 0.256; 168 h: H(4)= 6.080, p = 0.193) (Fig. 1A), occurrence of malformations ($\gamma 2 = 8.082$; df = 4; p = 0.089) (Fig. 1C) and time of delayed swimming bladder inflation ($\gamma 2 = 8.527$; df = 4; p = 0.074) (Fig. 1D). Statistical differences in terms of hatching rate were observed between concentrations ($F_{(4,69)}$ = 9.467, p < 0.001), with a significant increase in the percentage of hatched embryos at 1 (p < 0.01), 10 (p < 0.001) and 100 ng/L (p < 0.001), at 48 hpf (Fig. 1B). Significant differences were also found in the larval length (H(4) = 48.192, p < 0.001), with a decrease occurring at the highest concentration of fluoxetine (p < 0.001) (Fig. 1E). Fluoxetine exposure promoted increased the heart rate (H(4) = 25.246, p < 0.001), although significant effects were only observed for 10 (p < 0.001), 100 (p < 0.001) and 1000 ng/L (p < 0.001) (Fig. 1F).

3.3. Behavior response

Four types of behaviors were analyzed in this study: A) escape response evoked by a vibrational acoustic stimulus (startle response), B) habituation to repetitive acoustic stimulation (which addresses non-associative learning), C) basal locomotor activity (BLM), and D) response to visual stimuli (VMR) (Fig. 2). The startle response was affected by fluoxetine exposure ($F_{(4,363)} = 2.692$, p = 0.031), with a significant decrease of startle movement, compared with the control, registered for 1000 ng/L (p = 0.029; Fig. 2A). No significant effects on larvae habituation (H(4) = 1.009, p = 0.908) (Fig. 2B) was observed, while BLM was significantly affected by fluoxetine exposure (H(4) = 1.2.341, p = 0.015), increasing, compared to the control, in organisms

Table 1

Stability of experimental fluoxetine concentrations at 0 and 48 h. Values are represented as mean \pm SE of three replicates. The results obtained after 48 h were compared with those obtained at 0 h using Student's t-test, with significance set to p<0.05 and represented by * when p<0.05.

Exposure concentration (0 h) (mean \pm SE) ^a	Concentration detected at 48 h (mean \pm SE) ^a
0.9 ± 0.22	0.5 ± 0.06
11.7 ± 0.85	4.8 ± 0.43 *
117.5 ± 8.55	108.3 ± 1.16
976.3 ± 84.79	963.2 ± 84.20
	Exposure concentration (0 h) (mean \pm SE) ^a 0.9 ± 0.22 11.7 ± 0.85 117.5 ± 8.55 976.3 ± 84.79

^a n = 3.



Fig. 1. Effect of fluoxetine on zebrafish embryonic development: (A) Accumulative survival (%) (n = 800); (B) Hatched embryos (%) (n = 300-340); (C) Malformations at 168 hpf (%) (n = 760-765; (D) No swimming bladder inflation at 168 hpf (%) (n = 550-560); (E) Zebrafish larvae length (n = 105); (F) Zebrafish larvae cardiac activity (n = 65-70). All data are reported as mean values \pm standard error. Different letters indicate statistical different subset groups following either Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison test (Cumulative survival (%), zebrafish larvae length and cardiac activity) or one-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc (Hatched embryos (%)). The data of accumulative observed malformations and delayed swimming bladder inflation were analyzed using the chi-square test.

exposed to 1 (p = 0.01) and 1000 ng/L (p = 0.023) (Fig. 2C).

3.4. Antioxidant cellular response

Three biomarkers related to antioxidant defense were analyzed to assess the effects of fluoxetine exposure: SOD activity, CAT activity, and GSH levels (Fig. 3). Organisms exposed to fluoxetine displayed no significant differences to control in terms of SOD ($F_{(4,34)} = 0.254$, p = 0.905) (Fig. 3A) and CAT activities (Fig. 3B) ($F_{(4,34)} = 0.726$, p = 0.580). However, GSH levels were significantly affected by fluoxetine exposure ($F_{(4,33)} = 4.421$, p = 0.006). A trend towards a non-monotonic (reversed "U") pattern of effect was observed for GSH levels, with levels significantly higher than control at 10 ng/L (p = 0.016), which then decrease to control levels at 1000 ng/L (Fig. 3C).

3.5. Expression of monoaminergic genes

In order to study possible changes in zebrafish larvae serotonergic and dopaminergic system, the expression of the genes involved in the serotonin transport *slc6a4a* (*sert*), *scl18a2* (*vmat2*) and dopamine - *scl6a3* (*dat*), oxidation of serotonin (*mao*), synthesis of norepinephrine (*dbh*) and synthesis of serotonin and dopamine (*tph1a* and *th2*, respectively) were analyzed following developmental exposed to fluoxetine (Fig. 4). The *dbh* mRNA expression levels were not significantly affected by developmental exposure to fluoxetine ($F_{(4,28)} = 0.245$, p = 0.910) (Fig. 4E), while a downregulation was in general observed for the remaining genes. In terms of *vmat2* (Fig. 4B), expression levels were in general affected by the treatments ($F_{(4,27)} = 6.217$, p = 0.001), being significant for 10 (p = 0.002) and 100 ng/L (p = 0.015). In the case of *mao* ($F_{(4,28)} = 4.026$, p = 0.011) and *tph1a* ($F_{(4,28)} = 5.406$, p = 0.002) (Fig. 4D and G), mRNA expression levels was affected by 10 (*mao*: p = 0.034; *tph1a*: p = 0.008) and 1000 ng/L (*mao*: p = 0.039; *tph1a*: p = 0.016) and *th2* expression ($F_{(4,27)} = 2.953$, p = 0.038) (Fig. 4F) was only affected by the highest concentration (1000 ng/L) (p = 0.032).

3.6. Monoaminergic neurotransmitters

The effect of fluoxetine on neurotransmitters associated with the



Fig. 2. Behavioral responses of 8 dpf zebrafish larvae, following developmental exposure to fluoxetine. Results are reported in terms of percentage to the control. (A) **Startle response** (n = 96); (B) **Habituation** of the acoustic/vibrational escape response (n = 96). (C) **Basal Locomotor (BLM)** activity (n = 96); (D) **Visual-motor response (VMR)** (n = 96). Data are reported as mean values \pm standard error. Different letters indicate statistical different subset groups following either one-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc (startle response and VMR) or Kruskal Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparison test (habituation and BLM).

serotonergic (Fig. 5) and dopaminergic (Fig. 6) systems was also assessed. Of the neurotransmitters associated with the serotonergic system assessed, serotonin (5-HT), tryptophan and 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA) (a metabolite of serotonin) neurotransmitters (Fig. 5A, B and C respectively), fluoxetine exposure only induced significant effects on tryptophan levels (H(4) = 10.855, p = 0.028). Thus, a significant increase in tryptophan levels was found in organisms exposed to fluoxetine (all concentrations tested) (1 ng/L: p = 0.037; 10 ng/L: p = 0.027; 100 ng/L: p = 0.027; 100 ng/L: p = 0.013) (Fig. 5B).

In terms of dopaminergic system, the levels of dopamine and its metabolites (3-methoxytyramine (3-MT), homovanillic acid (HVA) and 3,4 dihydroxyphenylacetic acid (DOPAC)) (Fig. 6A, B, C and D respectively), tyrosine (Fig. 6E) and norepinephrine (synthesized from dopamine) (Fig. 6F) were analyzed. Dopamine levels remained unchanged (H (4) = 0.582, p = 0.965) (Fig. 6A) while the levels of DOPAC were reduced across all fluoxetine treatments (H(4) = 10.234, p = 0.037; 1 ng/L: p = 0.019; 10 ng/L: p = 0.021; 100 ng/L: p = 0.002; 1000 ng/L: p = 0.024) (Fig. 6D). An opposite trend was observed for norepinephrine levels (H(4) = 11.158, p = 0.025), where three of the four tested concentrations of fluoxetine prompted increases of its levels (1 ng/L: p = 0.009; 100 ng/L: p = 0.002; 1000 ng/L: p = 0.009; 100 ng/L: p = 0.002; 1000 ng/L: p = 0.009; 100 ng/L: p = 0.002; 1000 ng/L: p = 0.009; 100 ng/L: p = 0.002; 1000 ng/L: p = 0.009; 100 ng/L: p = 0.002; 1000 ng/L: p = 0.009; 100 ng/L: p = 0.002; 1000 ng/L: p = 0.009; 100 ng/L: p = 0.009; 100 ng/L: p = 0.002; 1000 ng/L: p = 0.009; 100 ng/L: p = 0.000; 100 ng/L: p = 0.00

4. Discussion

Overall, the data from the present study show that exposure to environmentally relevant concentrations of fluoxetine, during embryonic development, can result in changes in parameters at different levels crucial for organismal fitness.

Fluoxetine tested concentrations had no effect on embryo survival, supporting the data of Cunha et al. (2016), that also found no lethal effects on zebrafish embryos exposed, between 32 and 80 h, to concentrations between 0.519 and 276.6 μ g/L fluoxetine. Lack of effects on zebrafish larvae survival was also reported for the metabolite norfluoxetine (0.64–400 ng/L), after an 80-h exposure period (Rodrigues et al., 2020).

In addition, no teratogenic effects were observed in this study. However, previous studies have detected teratogenic effects in fish larvae due to fluoxetine exposure. Cunha et al. (2016) reported increased cumulative malformations in zebrafish embryos exposed for 32 and 80 h, to different concentrations of fluoxetine ranging from 0.519 to 276.6 μ g/L. As the bioavailability of fluoxetine is pH-dependent, differences in the pH of the fish water used between both studies could justify the observed differences (Mishra et al., 2019). Despite the absence of teratogenicity, in this study, 1000 ng/L fluoxetine significantly decreased the larvae total length. An ability of fluoxetine to modulate fish larvae size after 120 h-exposure was previously reported by Kalichak et al. (2016), for 99 μ g/L.

Fluoxetine promoted earlier hatching in organisms exposed to 3 of the four concentrations tested (1, 10 and 100 ng/L). A similar effect was also reported by Kalichak et al. (2016), but at a higher concentration (0.99 μ g/L).

In the present work, fluoxetine tested concentrations increased heart



Fig. 3. Effect of fluoxetine on 8 dpf zebrafish larvae antioxidant status (n = 8 pools): (A) Superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity; (B) Catalase (CAT) activity; (C) Reduced glutathione (GSH) levels. Data reported as mean values \pm standard error. Different letters indicate statistical different subset groups following one-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc test.

rate in the organisms exposed to 10, 100 and 1000 ng/L. Other studies have reported the opposite effect of fluoxetine, but at higher concentrations. Kalichak et al. (2016) reported that 99 μ g/L fluoxetine decreased the heart rate of zebrafish embryos at 49 hpf.

Altered behavior in zebrafish may be a useful tool to assess neurotoxicity during their development (Bertram et al., 2022). The influence of fluoxetine on behavior has been widely studied (reviewed by Correia et al. (2023)). In this study, zebrafish larvae were submitted to a several behavioral tests, associated with key essential behaviors, to assess potential neurological impairment resulting from developmental exposure to fluoxetine. One of the tests involved the rapid escape response evoked by a vibrational stimulus, crucial behavior for larvae survival, as they must be able to respond quickly and swim away from any threat (Faria et al., 2022b). The larvae exposed to the highest concentration of fluoxetine (1000 ng/L) showed a decreased startle response. A similar response was observed by Faria et al. (2021), in 8 day-old zebrafish larvae exposed to fluoxetine for 24 h to 154.67 µg/L. Environmentally relevant concentrations of sertraline (100 ng/L), another SSRI, have also been shown to weaken 8 day-old zebrafish larvae escape response, after a 24-h exposure (Faria et al., 2022b).

Habituation allows us to evaluate non-associative learning, resulting in a rapid decrease in the repeated response to a new stimulus or environment (Faria et al., 2022b). In the present study, fluoxetine exposure had no effect on this parameter. However, a higher exposure concentration (154.67 μ g/L, 24 h) was reported to impair habituation in 8 day-old zebrafish larvae (Faria et al., 2021). These different results are likely related to differences in concentrations used, age of exposed organisms and exposure duration. The available data on the effects of fluoxetine on this parameter are scarce, and thus more research should be performed to understand the consequences of fluoxetine exposure on this behavior. Changes in spatial cognitive abilities (such as memory and learning) can result in greater difficulty in collecting, processing and memorizing important information for the organism, making it vulnerable to predators, increasing the difficulty in feeding and looking for partners (Jacquin et al., 2020).

Basal locomotor activity (BLM) is determined by the fish's optimal navigation in the dark environments (Farias et al., 2020). In the present study, fluoxetine exposure led to an increase in total distance swam, mainly for the concentrations 1 and 1000 ng/L. Interestingly, the profile of the effect of fluoxetine on BLM parallels the one of norepinephrine. Therefore, the observed increase in BLM might be mediated by the increase in the levels of the non-selective agonist of adrenergic receptors norepinephrine, since this neurotransmitter has been shown to increase locomotor activity in zebrafish larvae through the activation of the β -adrenergic receptors (Basnet et al., 2019).

In this study, visual motor response (VMR) allowed the assessment of the impact of fluoxetine exposure on larvae's response to changes in light conditions. Under normal conditions, the sudden transition from a light to dark environment trigger an increase in larvae swimming activity, reflecting anxiety-like behavior (Burton et al., 2017). Fluoxetine did not affect larvae VMR. However, higher concentrations have been shown to reduce the VMR response in zebrafish larvae following a short exposure period (e.g. Faria et al. (2021)).

Antioxidant enzymes (SOD and CAT) are considered a first line of defense against oxidative stress inducers and serve as early indicators of exposure to pollutants, while GSH, a non-protein thiol, plays a key role as a reactive oxygen species scavenger, in addition to its role on enzyme such as glutathione peroxidase and glutathione S-transferase (Banaee et al., 2023a; Banaee et al., 2023b; Cahova et al., 2021; Plhalova et al., 2018; Rashidian et al., 2023). Some studies with fish embryos/larvae



Fig. 4. Effect of fluoxetine on 8 dpf zebrafish larvae mRNA expression of genes associated with the serotonergic and dopaminergic system (A - *slc6a4a (sert*); B - *scl18a2 (vmat2)*; C - *scl6a3 (dat*); D - *mao*; E - *dbh*; F - *th2*; G - *tph1a*) (n = 8 pools). Data reported as mean values \pm standard error. Different letters indicate statistical different subset groups following one-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc test.



Fig. 5. Effect of fluoxetine on 8 dpf zebrafish larvae serotonergic neurotransmitters profiles (n = 6 pools): (A) Serotonin (5-HT); (B) Tryptophan; (C) 5-Hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA). Data reported as mean values \pm standard error. Different letters represent different subset groups following Kruskal Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparison test.

have reported the ability of fluoxetine to alter the activity of these antioxidant enzymes (Orozco-Hernández et al., 2022; Cunha et al., 2016). Orozco-Hernández et al. (2022) reported a dose-response increase in SOD and CAT activity in zebrafish embryos following 72- and 96-h exposure to environmentally relevant concentrations of fluoxetine ranging from 5 to 40 ng/L. SOD inhibition was also reported, in the same species and life stage as mentioned above, after 80-h exposure to 34.6, 172.9 and 276.6 μ g/L fluoxetine (Cunha et al., 2016), with CAT activity induction observed at 0.46 and 154.7 μ g/L. Yet, in the present study, no significant effects on the activity of these enzymes were found.

Regarding GSH levels, a trend towards a non-monotonic response pattern was observed. Such response patterns are not uncommon in ecotoxicity studies (Hill et al., 2018; Lagarde et al., 2015). Nonetheless, the mechanisms underlying this phenomenon are still unclear. The ability of fluoxetine to cause fluctuations in GSH levels in fish species has been previously reported. In *Pseudorasbora parva* juveniles exposed for 4 h to 50 µg/L fluoxetine, an increase (153%) in hepatic GSH was shown at 200 µg/L, which then decrease (28.5%) after 42 days exposure (Chen et al., 2018). In gills, acute exposure to 200 µg/L fluoxetine depleted GSH levels, whereas in chronic exposure, the levels were unchanged (Chen et al., 2018).

SSRIs antidepressants have been reported to be able to alter the gene expression in exposed organisms. 5-HT performs an important function in regulating neurotransmission processes, participating in numerous physiological and behavioral systems (McDonald, 2017). Alterations at several critical points of monoamine metabolism (e.g. synthesis, release, reuptake, catabolism, and serotonin receptors) may be a consequence of dysfunctions of 5-HT neurotransmission (Morilak and Frazer, 2004). In the present study, altered mRNA expression was found at three key points in serotonergic pathway. Fluoxetine exposure led to the down-regulations of *vmat2* (important in the storage and synaptic release of all monoamines, including 5-HT (Eiden and Weihe, 2011)), *mao* (involved in the degradation of serotonin) and *tph1a* (involved in the synthesis

serotonin). Downregulation of *vmat2* and *mao* by fluoxetine have been reported in other studies. Cunha et al. (2018) and Rodrigues et al. (2018) found decreased expression levels of *vmat2* in zebrafish embryos, after 80 h exposure to 0.519 µg/L and 0.43–0.46 µg/L, respectively. Additionally, Rodrigues et al. (2018, 2022) also found downregulation of *mao* expression in zebrafish larvae exposed for 80 h to 0.43, 0.46 and 15.5 µg/L fluoxetine. Finally, Faria et al. (2021) reported downregulation of *mao* expression, but not of MAO activity, in 8 day-old zebrafish larvae exposed for 24 h to 154.67 µg/L fluoxetine.

Dopaminergic neuronal activity is involved in different physiological processes such as cognitive system, locomotor behavior, memory, and learning (Beaulieu & Gainetdinov, 2011). The *dat* gene (also known as SLC6A3), is a transmembrane protein responsible for the reabsorption of dopamine from the synapse into the cytosol of dopaminergic neurons (Lamothe and Zhang, 2016). Despite the lack of effect observed in the present study, a 80-h exposure to 0.519 and 34.6 μ g/L fluoxetine has been reported to induce a decrease in zebrafish embryos dopamine transporter transcription levels (Cunha et al., 2018). This down-regulation was also found in zebrafish larvae, after 80-h exposure to 0.46 and 15.5 μ g/L fluoxetine (Rodrigues et al., 2022) and 0.43–0.46 μ g/L fluoxetine (Rodrigues et al., 2018).

In the present study, fluoxetine also altered the mRNA expression of *th2*, which encodes for the enzyme tyrosine hydroxylase 2, catalyzing the rate-limiting step in the synthesis of catecholamines. A decrease in the expression levels of this gene was observed, although only significant at the highest concentration (1000 ng/L). However, opposite findings have also been reported. On a 10-day chronic exposure to 100 μ g/L fluoxetine *Oryzias latipes* adults showed increased mRNA expression levels of *th2* gene (Otsuka et al., 2022). These different results are certainly related to the organism species used.

In the present study, exposure to fluoxetine resulted in altered levels of brain neurotransmitters, endogenous chemicals that enable communication between neurons, providing a variety of functions of the brain



Fig. 6. Effect of fluoxetine on 8 dpf zebrafish larvae dopaminergic neurotransmitters profiles (n = 6 pools): (A) Dopamine; (B) 3-Methoxytyramine (3-MT); (C) Homovanillic acid (HVA); (D) 3,4 Dihydroxyphenylacetic acid (DOPAC); (E) Tyrosine; (F) Norepinephrine. Data reported as mean values \pm standard error. Different letters represent different subset groups following Kruskal Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparison test.

through the process of chemical synaptic transmission (Rizo, 2018). The continuous firing of serotonergic signaling may be involved in the decreased expression of genes related to synthesis, transport, and metabolism. This is reflected by downregulation of tph1a buildup of tryptophan in the CNS. Tryptophan is an amino acid precursor for the synthesis of serotonin in the brain (Höglund et al., 2019). This increase in the levels of this amino acid was not reflected in the levels of serotonin, which remained unchanged. These mechanistic shifts of the serotonergic pathway may have led to larvae poor response to a stress stimulus. Some studies with zebrafish larvae have reported the fluoxetine effects on the neurotransmitters levels of the serotonergic system. In 8 day-old zebrafish larvae exposed to 154.67 µg/L fluoxetine for 24 h, no effects on serotonin levels were observed but 5-HIAA levels were significantly decreased (Faria et al., 2021). Additionally, 8 day-old zebrafish larvae 24-h exposed to 1 and 10 µg/L sertraline, displayed an increase in serotonin and 5-HIAA levels (increase in 5-HIAA levels), with the highest level recorded at 10 µg/L sertraline (Faria et al., 2022b).

Fluoxetine tested concentrations also altered catecholamine neurotransmission. Dopamine levels in the exposed organisms did not change, despite downregulation in the th2 gene. However, the levels of DOPAC, one of dopamine metabolites, were decreased possibly due to the downregulation of mao, responsible for the conversion of dopamine into this metabolite. Norepinephrine, synthesized from dopamine, through dopamine β -monooxygenase (DBH), and responsible for preparing the organism for the larval start/leak response had its levels significantly increased at 1, 100 and 1000 ng/L, although its gene expression encoding for dbh were inconclusive. With the lack of effect on dbh expression, there is a possibility of changes in its protein expression, which should be considered and analyzed in future studies. The increase in norepinephrine levels may explain the effects observed in basal locomotor activity, as exposed larvae showed an increase in this behavior. However, the increase in norepinephrine levels was not exhibited in the larvae's startle behavior, as this response was opposite of what was expected, given the higher norepinephrine levels. The increased larvae heart rate observed in the present study may also be related to this increase in norepinephrine levels. Fluoxetine can interact with the 5- HT_{2C} receptors, and through this mechanism, it may to increase norepinephrine (Bymaster et al., 2002). Norepinephrine is known to promote a contracting action on blood vessels, resulting in an increase in blood pressure and heart rate (Hamzaoui et al., 2010).

The effect of fluoxetine on catecholamine neurotransmission levels have also been observed in other studies. Faria et al. (2021) in 8-day-old zebrafish larvae exposed to 154.67 μ g/L fluoxetine for 24 h, dopamine and 3-MT levels were also unchanged, but DOPAC levels were higher after exposure to fluoxetine. Furthermore, in a study conducted with sertraline in 8-day-old zebrafish larvae supports our results, in which dopamine levels were unchanged and norepinephrine levels increased after exposure to 1 and 10 μ g/L sertraline for 24 h (Faria et al., 2022b). Nevertheless, higher levels of 3-MT were observed at 0.1 μ g/L fluoxetine and for DOPAC and HVA higher levels were also observed at 1 and 10 μ g/L fluoxetine (Faria et al., 2022b). The available data on the effects of fluoxetine on brain neurotransmitter levels are scarce, so there is a need for increased research on this parameter for a better understand how this SSRI might affect this component in fish.

5. Conclusion

The present study demonstrated that environmentally relevant concentrations of fluoxetine can induce effects on fish early life stages. Exposure during embryonic development affected larvae heart rate and growth and affected behavioral responses important for survival (startle response and basal locomotor activity), potentially impairing important behavioral responses like escaping from predators and obtaining food. Furthermore, low concentrations of fluoxetine are capable to cause a decrease in the expression levels of genes of the monoaminergic system, also affecting the neurotransmitter system, increasing tryptophan and norepinephrine levels, and decreasing DOPAC levels. The fact that the tested concentrations can disrupt systems important for the development and survival of organisms supports the importance of monitoring the presence of these psychotropic drugs in the aquatic environment, and the inclusion of ecologically relevant behaviors, as well as study the enzymes activity involved in neurochemical pathways in environmental risk analyses.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Daniela Correia: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Visualization, Funding acquisition. Marina Bellot: Writing – original draft, Formal analysis. Eva Prats: Investigation. Cristian Gómez-Canela: Investigation. Hugo Moro: Investigation. Demetrio Raldúa: Investigation. Inês Domingues: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Visualization, Supervision. Miguel Oliveira: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Visualization, Supervision, Funding acquisition. Melissa Faria: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Visualization, Supervision, Funding review & editing, Visualization, Supervision, Funding –

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: Daniela Correia reports financial support was provided by FCT -Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia. Miguel Oliveira reports financial support was provided by FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia. Melissa Faria reports financial support was provided by IDAEA-CSIC, Severo Ochoa Centre of Excellence.

Data availability

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge financial support to project NanoPlanet (2022.02340.PTDC) and to CESAM by FCT/MCTES (UIDP/50017/2020+UIDB/50017/2020+ LA/P/0094/2020), through national funds. This work was also supported by "Agencia Estatal de Investigación" from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (project PID2020-113371RB-C21), IDAEA-CSIC, Severo Ochoa Centre of Excellence (CEX2018-000794-S), which financed M.F. with Severo Ochoa funds. Finally, we also are grateful for the support given by the FCT to Daniela Correia (doctoral grant PD/BD/150567/2020).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.140468.

References

- Banaee, Mahdi, Faraji, J., Amini, M., Multisanti, C.R., Faggio, C., 2023b. Rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) physiological response to microplastics and enrofloxacin: novel pathways to investigate microplastic synergistic effects on pharmaceuticals. Aquat. Toxicol. 261 (April), 106627 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. aquatox.2023.106627.
- Banaee, M., Impellitteri, F., Multisanti, C.R., Sureda, A., Arfuso, F., Piccione, G., Faggio, C., 2023a. Evaluating Silymarin Extract as a Potent Antioxidant Supplement in Diazinon-Exposed Rainbow Trout: Oxidative Stress and Biochemical Parameter Analysis. Toxics. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxics11090737.
- Basnet, R.M., Zizioli, D., Taweedet, S., Finazzi, D., Memo, M., 2019. Zebrafish larvae as a behavioral model in neuropharmacology. Biomedicines 7. https://doi.org/10.3390/ biomedicinesS7010023.
- Beaulieu, J.M., Gainetdinov, R.R., 2011. The Physiology, signaling, and pharmacology of dopamine receptors. Pharmacol. Rev. 63 (1), 182–217. https://doi.org/10.1124/ pr.110.002642.182.
- Bellot, M., Barata, C., Gómez-Canela, C., 2021a. Aqueous stability and degradation of psychiatric and neuroactive compounds and its biological activity in Daphnia magna. Sci. Total Environ. 798, 149252 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitoteny 2021 149252.
- Bellot, M., Bartolomé, H., Faria, M., Gómez-Canela, C., Raldúa, D., 2021b. Differential modulation of the central and peripheral monoaminergic neurochemicals by deprenyl in Zebrafish Larvae. Toxics 9 (116). https://doi.org/10.3390/ toxics9060116.
- Bertram, M.G., Ecker, T.E., Wong, B.B.M., O'Bryan, M.K., Baumgartner, J.B., Martin, J. M., Saaristo, M., 2018. The antidepressant fluoxetine alters mechanisms of pre- and post- copulatory sexual selection in the eastern mosquitofish (Gambusia holbrooki). Environ. Pollut. 238, 238–247. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.03.006.
- Bertram, M.G., Martin, J.M., McCallum, E.S., Alton, L.A., Brand, J.A., Brooks, B.W., Brodin, T., 2022. Frontiers in quantifying wildlife behavioural responses to chemical pollution. Biol. Rev. 97, 1346–1364. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12844.
- Blahova, J., Doubkova, V., Plhalova, L., Lakdawala, P., Medkova, D., Vecerek, V., Faggio, C., 2021. Embryotoxicity of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors—comparative sensitivity of zebrafish (Danio rerio) and african clawed frog (xenopus laevis) embryos. Appl. Sci. 11, 10015 https://doi.org/10.3390/ app112110015.
- Bradford, M.M., 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Analitycal Biochemistry 72, 248–254.
- Burton, C.E., Zhou, Y., Bai, Q., Burton, E.A., 2017. Spectral properties of the zebrafish visual motor response. Neurosci. Lett. 646, 62–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neulet.2017.03.002.
- Bymaster, F.P., Zhang, W., Carter, P.A., Shaw, J., Chernet, E., Phebus, L., Perry, K.W., 2002. Fluoxetine, but not other selective serotonin uptake inhibitors, increases norepinephrine and dopamine extracellular levels in prefrontal cortex. Psychopharmacology 160, 353–361. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-001-0986-x.
- Cahova, J., Blahova, J., Plhalova, L., Svobodova, Z., Faggio, C., 2021. Do singlecomponent and mixtures selected organic UV filters induce embryotoxic effects in zebrafish (Danio rerio)? Water (Switzerland) 13 (16), 1–14. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/w13162203.
- Chai, T., Cui, F., Di, S., Wu, S., Zhang, Y., Wang, X., 2021. New insights into cardiotoxicity induced by chiral fluoxetine at environmental-level: Enantioselective arrhythmia in developmental zebrafish (Danio rerio). Environ. Pollut. 270, 116182 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.116182.
- Chen, H., Zeng, X., Mu, L., Hou, L., Yang, B., Zhao, J., Zhang, Q., 2018. Effects of acute and chronic exposures of fluoxetine on the Chinese fish, topmouth gudgeon Pseudorasbora parva. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 160 (May), 104–113. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.04.061.
- Correia, D., Domingues, I., Faria, M., Oliveira, M., 2023. Effects of fluoxetine on fish: what do we know and where should we focus our efforts in the future. Sci. Total Environ. 857, 159486 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.159486.

Data will be made available on request.

D. Correia et al.

Craig, P.M., Trudeau, V.L., Moon, T.W., 2014. Profiling hepatic microRNAs in zebrafish: fluoxetine exposure mimics a fasting response that targets AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK). PLoS ONES ONE 9 (4), e95351. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0095351.

- Cunha, V., Rodrigues, P., Santos, M.M., Moradas-Ferreira, P., Ferreira, M., 2016. Danio rerio embryos on Prozac – effects on the detoxification mechanism and embryo development. Aquat. Toxicol. 178, 182–189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. aquatox.2016.08.003.
- Cunha, V., Rodrigues, P., Santos, M.M., Moradas-Ferreira, P., Ferreira, M., 2018. Fluoxetine modulates the transcription of genes involved in serotonin, dopamine and adrenergic signalling in zebrafish embryos. Chemosphere 191, 954–961. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.10.100.
- Ding, J., Lu, G., Li, Y., 2016. Interactive effects of selected pharmaceutical mixtures on bioaccumulation and biochemical status in crucian carp (Carassius auratus). Chemosphere 148, 21–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.01.017.
- Dorelle, L.S., Da Cuña, R.H., Sganga, D.E., Rey Vázquez, G., López Greco, L., Lo Nostro, F. L., 2020. Fluoxetine exposure disrupts food intake and energy storage in the cichlid fish Cichlasoma dimerus (Teleostei, Cichliformes). Chemosphere 238. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.124609.
- Duarte, I.A., Reis-Santos, P., Novais, S.C., Rato, L.D., Lemos, M.F.L., Freitas, A., et al., 2020. Depressed, hypertense and sore: long-term effects of fluoxetine, propranolol and diclofenac exposure in a top predator fish. Sci. Total Environ. 712, 136564 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136564.
- Eiden, L.E., Weihe, E., 2011. Function interacting with drugs of abuse. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1216, 86–98. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05906.x.VMAT2.
- Evsiukova, V.S., Bazovkina, D., Bazhenova, E., Kulikova, E.A., Kulikov, A.V., 2021. Tryptophan hydroxylase 2 deficiency modifies the effects of fluoxetine and pargyline on the behavior, 5-ht-and bdnf-systems in the brain of zebrafish (Danio rerio). Int. J. Mol. Sci. 22, 12851 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms222312851.
- Faria, M., Bedrossiantz, J., Prats, E., Rovira Garcia, X., Gómez-Canela, C., Piña, B., Raldúa, D., 2019a. Deciphering the mode of action of pollutants impairing the fish larvae escape response with the vibrational startle response assay. Sci. Total Environ. 672, 121–128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.469.
- Faria, M., Bellot, M., Bedrossiantz, J., Ramírez, J.R.R., Prats, E., Garcia-Reyero, N., Raldua, D., 2022a. Environmental levels of carbaryl impair zebrafish larvae behaviour: the potential role of ADRA2B and HTR2B. J. Hazard Mater. 431, 128563 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2022.128563.
- Faria, M., Bellot, M., Soto, O., Prats, E., Montemurro, N., Manjarrés, D., Raldúa, D., 2022b. Developmental exposure to sertraline impaired zebrafish behavioral and neurochemical profiles. Front. Physiol. 13, 1040598 https://doi.org/10.3389/ fphys.2022.1040598.
- Faria, M., Garcia-Reyero, N., Padrós, F., Babin, P.J., Sebastián, D., Cachot, J., Ralduá, D., 2015. Zebrafish models for human acute organophosphorus poisoning. Sci. Rep. 5, 15591 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep15591.
- Faria, M., Prats, E., Bellot, M., Gomez-Canela, C., Raldúa, D., 2021. Pharmacological modulation of serotonin levels in zebrafish larvae: lessons for identifying environmental neurotoxicants targeting the serotonergic system. Toxics 9 (118). https://doi.org/10.3390/toxics9060118.
- Faria, M., Valls, A., Prats, E., Bedrossiantz, J., Orozco, M., Porta, J.M., Raldúa, D., 2019b. Further characterization of the zebrafish model of acrylamide acute neurotoxicity: gait abnormalities and oxidative stress. Sci. Rep. 9, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-019-43647-z.
- Faria, M., Wu, X., Luja-Mondragón, M., Prats, E., Gómez-Oliván, L.M., Piña, B., Raldúa, D., 2020. Screening anti-predator behaviour in fish larvae exposed to environmental pollutants. Sci. Total Environ. 714, 136759 https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.scitotenv.2020.136759.
- Farias, N. O. de, Oliveira, R.C., de R, S., Sousa-Moura, D., de Oliveira, R.C.S., Rodrigues, M.A.C., Andrade, T.S., Grisolia, C.K., 2019c. Exposure to low concentration of fluoxetine affects development, behaviour and acetylcholinesterase activity of zebrafish embryos. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. C Toxicol. Pharmacol. 215, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2018.08.009. May 2018.
- Farias, N. O. de, Oliveira, R., Moretti, P.N.S., e Pinto, J.M., Oliveira, A.C., Santos, V.L., Grisolia, C.K., 2020. Fluoxetine chronic exposure affects growth, behavior and tissue structure of zebrafish. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. C Toxicol. Pharmacol. 237 (June) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2020.108836.
- Giordano, G., Costa, L.G., 2012. Developmental neurotoxicity: some old and new issues. ISRN Toxicology 1–12. https://doi.org/10.5402/2012/814795.
- Gómez-Canela, C., Edo, S., Rodríguez, N., Gotor, G., Lacorte, S., 2021. Comprehensive characterization of 76 pharmaceuticals and metabolites in wastewater by lc-ms/ms. Chemosensors 9 (273), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.3390/chemosensors9100273.
- Greaney, N.E., Mannion, K.L., Dzieweczynski, T.L., 2015. Signaling on Prozac: altered audience effects on male-male interactions after fluoxetine exposure in Siamese fighting fish. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 69, 1925–1932. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00265-015-2005-y.
- Hamzaoui, O., Georger, J.F., Monnet, X., Ksouri, H., Maizel, J., Richard, C., Teboul, J.L., 2010. Early administration of norepinephrine increases cardiac preload and cardiac output in septic patients with life-threatening hypotension. Crit. Care 14 (4), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/cc9207.
- Hiemke, C., Härtter, S., 2000. Pharmacokinetics of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. Pharmacology and Therapeutics 85, 11–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0163-7258(99)00048-0.
- Hill, C.E., Myers, J.P., Vandenberg, L.N., 2018. Nonmonotonic dose–Response curves occur in dose ranges that are relevant to regulatory decision-making. Dose Response 16 (3), 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1177/1559325818798282.

- Höglund, E., Øverli, Ø., Winberg, S., 2019. Tryptophan metabolic pathways and brain serotonergic activity: a comparative review. Front. Endocrinol. 10 (158) https://doi. org/10.3389/fendo.2019.00158.
- Horzmann, K.A., Freeman, J.L., 2016. Zebrafish get connected: investigating neurotransmission targets and alterations in chemical toxicity. In: Toxics, vol. 4. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxics4030019.
- Jacquin, L., Petitjean, Q., Côte, J., Laffaille, P., Jean, S., 2020. Effects of pollution on fish behavior, personality, and cognition: some research perspectives. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 8 (86), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2020.00086.
- Kalichak, F., Idalencio, R., Rosa, J.G.S., Oliveira, T.A.d., Koakoski, G., Gusso, D., Barcellos, L.J.G., 2016. Waterborne psychoactive drugs impair the initial development of Zebrafish. Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 41, 89–94. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.etap.2015.11.014.
- Lagarde, F., Beausoleil, C., Belcher, S.M., Belzunces, L.P., Emond, C., Guerbet, M., Rousselle, C., 2015. Non-monotonic dose-response relationships and endocrine disruptors: a qualitative method of assessment. Environmental Health 14 (13), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-14-13.
- Lamothe, S.M., Zhang, S., 2016. Chapter five ubiquitination of ion channels and transporters. In: Progress in Molecular Biology and Translational Science, vol. 141. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.pmbts.2016.02.005.
- Livak, K.J., Schmittgen, T.D., 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using realtime quantitative PCR and the 2–ΔΔCT method. Methods 25, 402–408. https://doi. org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262.
- Martin, J.M., Saaristo, M., Bertram, M.G., Lewis, P.J., Coggan, T.L., Clarke, B.O., Wong, B.B.M., 2017. The psychoactive pollutant fluoxetine compromises antipredator behaviour in fish. Environ. Pollut. 222, 592–599. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.envpol.2016.10.010.
- Martin, J.M., Saaristo, M., Tan, H., Bertram, M.G., Nagarajan-radha, V., Dowling, D.K., Martin, J.M., 2019. Field-realistic antidepressant exposure disrupts group foraging dynamics in mosquitofish. Biol. Lett. 15, 20190615 https://doi.org/10.1098/ rsbl.2019.0615.
- Mayol-Cabré, M., Prats, E., Raldúa, D., Gómez-Canela, C., 2020. Characterization of monoaminergic neurochemicals in the different brain regions of adult zebrafish. Sci. Total Environ. 745, 141205 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141205.
- McDonald, M.D., 2017. An AOP analysis of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) for fish. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. C Toxicol. Pharmacol. 197, 19–31. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2017.03.007.
- Meijide, F.J., Da Cuña, R.H., Prieto, J.P., Dorelle, L.S., Babay, P.A., Lo Nostro, F.L., 2018. Effects of waterborne exposure to the antidepressant fluoxetine on swimming, shoaling and anxiety behaviours of the mosquitofish Gambusia holbrooki. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 163 (March), 646–655. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ecoenv.2018.07.085.
- Metcalfe, C.D., Chu, S., Judt, C., Li, H., Oakes, K.D., Servos, M.R., Andrews, D.M., 2010. Antidepressants and their metabolites in municipal wastewater, and downstream exposure in an urban watershed. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 29 (1), 79–89. https://doi. org/10.1002/etc.27.
- Mishra, P., Gong, Z., Kelly, B.C., 2017. Assessing biological effects of fluoxetine in developing zebrafish embryos using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry based metabolomics. Chemosphere 188, 157–167. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chemosphere.2017.08.149.
- Mishra, P., Gong, Z., Kelly, B.C., 2019. Assessing pH-dependent toxicity of fluoxetine in embryonic zebrafish using mass spectrometry-based metabolomics. Sci. Total Environ. 650, 2731–2741. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.scitoteny.2018.09.364.
- Environ. 650, 2731–2741. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.364.
 Morilak, D.A., Frazer, A., 2004. Antidepressants and brain monoaminergic systems: a dimensional approach to understanding their behavioural effects in depression and anxiety disorders. Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol. 7, 193–218. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1461145704004080.
- Nguyen, L., Rigo, J.M., Rocher, V., Belachew, S., Malgrange, B., Rogister, B., Moonen, G., 2001. Neurotransmitters as early signals for central nervous system development. Cell Tissue Res. 305 (2), 187–202. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004410000343.
- Orozco-Hernández, J.M., Gómez-Oliván, L.M., Elizalde-Velázquez, G.A., Heredia-García, G., Cardoso-Vera, J.D., Dublán-García, O., Galar-Martínez, M., 2022. Effects of oxidative stress induced by environmental relevant concentrations of fluoxetine on the embryonic development on Danio rerio. Sci. Total Environ. 807, 151048 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.151048.
- Otsuka, A., Shimomura, Y., Sakikubo, H., Miura, K., Kagawa, N., 2022. Effects of single and repeated heat stress on anxiety-like behavior and locomotor activity in medaka fish. Fisheries Science 88, 45–54. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12562-021-01561-2.
- Pan, C., Yang, M., Xu, H., Xu, B., Jiang, L., Wu, M., 2018. Tissue bioconcentration and effects of fluoxetine in zebrafish (Danio rerio) and red crucian cap (Carassius auratus) after short-term and long-term exposure. Chemosphere 205, 8–14. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.04.082.
- Parolini, M., Ghilardi, A., De Felice, B., Del Giacco, L., 2019. Environmental concentration of fluoxetine disturbs larvae behavior and increases the defense response at molecular level in zebrafish (Danio rerio). Environ. Sci. Pollut. Control Ser. 26 (34), 34943–34952. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-06619-4.
- Plhalova, L., Blahova, J., Divisova, L., Enevova, V., Casuscelli di Tocco, F., Faggio, C., Svobodova, Z., 2018. The effects of subchronic exposure to NeemAzal T/S on zebrafish (Danio rerio). Chem. Ecol. 34 (3), 199–210. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 02757540.2017.1420176.
- Prasad, P., Ogawa, S., Parhar, I.S., 2015. Role of serotonin in fish reproduction. Front. Neurosci. 1–9 https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2015.00195.
- Prats, E., Gómez-Canela, C., Ben-Lulu, S., Ziv, T., Padrós, F., Tornero, D., Raldúa, D., 2017. Modelling acrylamide acute neurotoxicity in zebrafish larvae. Sci. Rep. 7 (13952), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-14460-3.

- Rashidian, G., Mohammadi-Aloucheh, R., Hosseinzadeh-Otaghvari, F., Chupani, L., Stejskal, V., Samadikhah, H., Faggio, C., 2023. Long-term exposure to small-sized silica nanoparticles (SiO2-NPs) induces oxidative stress and impairs reproductive performance in adult zebrafish (Danio rerio). Comp. Biochem. Physiol. C Toxicol. Pharmacol. 273 (August), 109715 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2023.109715.
- Rizo, J., 2018. Mechanism of neurotransmitter release coming into focus. Protein Sci. 27, 1364–1391. https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3445.
- Rodrigues, P., Cunha, V., Oliva-Teles, L., Ferreira, M., Guimarães, L., 2020. Norfluoxetine and venlafaxine in zebrafish larvae: single and combined toxicity of two pharmaceutical products relevant for risk assessment. J. Hazard Mater. 400, 123171 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.123171.
- Rodrigues, Pedro, Cunha, V., Ferreira, M., Guimarães, L., 2018. Embryotoxicity and molecular alterations of fluoxetine and norfluoxetine in early zebrafish larvae. In: Advances in Science, Technology and Innovation. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-13068-8_80.
- Rodrigues, Pedro, Cunha, V., Ferreira, M., Reis-Henriques, M.A., Oliva-Teles, L., Guimarães, L., Carvalho, A.P., 2022. Differential molecular responses of zebrafish larvae to fluoxetine and norfluoxetine. Water (Switzerland) 14 (417), 1–17. https:// doi.org/10.3390/w14030417.
- Salgado, R., Marques, R., Noronha, J., Mexia, J., Carvalho, G., Oehmen, A., 2011. Assessing the diurnal variability of pharmaceutical and personal care products in a full-scale activated sludg plant. Environ Pollut 159, 2359–2367.
- Scholz, S., Fischer, S., Gündel, U., Küster, E., Luckenbach, T., Voelker, D., 2008. The zebrafish embryo model in environmental risk assessment - applications beyond acute toxicity testing. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Control Ser. 15, 394–404. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11356-008-0018-z.
- Sehonova, P., Svobodova, Z., Dolezelova, P., Vosmerova, P., Faggio, C., 2018. Effects of waterborne antidepressants on non-target animals living in the aquatic environment: a review. Sci. Total Environ. 631–632, 789–794. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2018.03.076.
- Shraim, A., Diab, A., Alsuhaimi, A., Niazy, E., Metwally, M., Amad, M., Dawoud, A., 2012. Analysis of some pharmaceuticals in municipal wastewater of Almadinah Almunawarah. Arab. J. Chem. 10, 5719–5729. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. arabjc.2012.11.014.

- Sousa, M.A., Gonçalves, C., Cunha, E., Hajšlová, J., Alpendurada, M.F., 2011. Cleanup strategies and advantages in the determination of several therapeutic classes of pharmaceuticals in wastewater samples by SPE-LC-MS/MS. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 399, 807–822. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-010-4297-0.
- Stewart, A.M., Grossman, L., Nguyen, M., Maximino, C., Rosemberg, D.B., Echevarria, D. J., Kalueff, A.V., 2014. Aquatic toxicology of fluoxetine: understanding the knowns and the unknowns. Aquat. Toxicol. 156, 269–273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. aquatox.2014.08.014.
- Theodoridi, A., Tsalafouta, A., Pavlidis, M., 2017. Acute exposure to fluoxetine alters aggressive behavior of zebrafish and expression of genes involved in serotonergic system regulation. Front. Neurosci. 11 (223), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2017.00223.
- Thoré, E.S.J., Philippe, C., Brendonck, L., Pinceel, T., 2020. Antidepressant exposure reduces body size, increases fecundity and alters social behavior in the short-lived killifish Nothobranchius furzeri. Environ. Pollut. 265, 115068 https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115068.
- Vera-Chang, M.N., St-Jacques, A.D., Gagné, R., Martyniuk, C.J., Yauk, C.L., Moon, T.W., Trudeau, V.L., 2018. Transgenerational hypocortisolism and behavioral disruption are induced by the antidepressant fluoxetine in male zebrafish Danio rerio. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 115 (52), E12435–E12442. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1811695115.
- White, C.C., Viernes, H., Krejsa, C.M., Botta, D., Kavanagh, T.J., 2003. Fluorescencebased microtiter plate assay for glutamate-cysteine ligase activity. Anal. Biochem. 318, 175–180. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2697(03)00143-X.
- Yan, Z., Zhang, X., Bao, X., Ling, X., Yang, H., Liu, J., Ji, Y., 2020. Influence of dissolved organic matter on the accumulation, metabolite production and multi-biological effects of environmentally relevant fluoxetine in crucian carp (Carassius auratus). Aquat. Toxicol. 226, 105581 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2020.105581.
- Zindler, F., Stoll, S., Baumann, L., Knoll, S., Huhn, C., Braunbeck, T., 2020. Do environmentally relevant concentrations of fluoxetine and citalopram impair stressrelated behavior in zebrafish (Danio rerio) embryos? Chemosphere 261, 127753. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.127753.